Interpretive Notice & Formal Opinion ("INFO") #14: Payment of Earned Vacation upon Separation of Employment

Overview

This INFO addresses:

- How employees must be paid all earned “vacation pay” when their job ends (“separation of employment”);
- The rule against employment policies, or agreements, that waive or forfeit any earned vacation; and
- What kinds of paid time off do, and do not, count as protected “vacation pay.”

Requirement To Pay Earned Vacation When Employment Ends

Employers are not required to offer paid vacation, but (as with other wages) may do so in writing, verbally, or based on their custom or practice. Employers who do offer paid vacation may set key terms, including:

- the amount of paid vacation time;
- how vacation pay is earned (accrued) — for example, based on hours, weeks, or years of work;
- whether there is a “cap,” or maximum, on the amount of paid vacation that employees can save up.

Vacation pay is a form of wages protected by the Colorado Wage Act ("Wage Act"), C.R.S. 8-4-101, et seq. Under the Wage Act, once employees earn wages, their right to payment is guaranteed, regardless of whether the amount was determined by law (e.g., a minimum wage law), by agreement, or by practice — and any agreement that forfeits earned compensation is void and unenforceable. That means that, like other wages, unused vacation pay must be paid when an employee separates from employment — whether they are fired with or without cause, voluntarily resign with or without notice, or separate for any other reason.

What Counts As “Vacation Pay” That Must Be Paid When An Employee Separates from Their Job

“Vacation pay” is any paid leave that’s usable for any purpose the employee chooses, at their discretion — unlike paid leave that’s usable only for qualifying events like health needs, caretaking, bereavement, or public holidays, as Wage Protection Rule 2.17.1 details. Because vacation pay is usable without a qualifying event, it is a form of guaranteed pay that an employee can use, or save for eventual payout, at their discretion. Some paid leave meets this “vacation pay” definition, but has a different name, like “personal days,” “paid time off” (“PTO”), “annual leave,” “floating holiday,” etc. Labor rights depend on how employment works in reality, not

---

1 Wage Protection Rules, 7 CCR 1103-7, Rule 2.17 (employer/employee agreements set whether, how, and how much vacation pay is earned, and can cap vacation at a year’s worth or more, but still can’t forfeit or waive earned vacation pay).

2 Nieto v. Clark’s Market, Inc., 2021 CO 48, ¶ 5, 10 (agreement that employees forfeit earned vacation if they are fired, or fail to give two weeks’ notice of resignation, violates Colorado Wage Act ban on vacation pay forfeiture; employers with such policies or agreements still owe departing employees’ earned vacation pay) (citing C.R.S. 8-4-121, which provides that “[a]ny agreement, written or oral, by any employee purporting to waive or to modify such employee’s rights in violation of this article shall be void”); Colo. Civil Constr. Inc., DLSS Case #3944-19, at 3-4 (Hearing Officer Decis. #21-069, June 21, 2021) (policy that quitting without notice forfeits earned vacation is void; no policy or agreement can waive rights to earned wages, including unused vacation pay); In re Oceon II, LLC, DLSS Case #4109-17, at 7 (Hearing Officer Decis. #18-081, Nov. 16, 2018) (“even if the policy did clearly provide for forfeiture of vacation upon separation, the Colorado Wage Act would prohibit its forfeiture because vacation pay was provided ... pursuant to an agreement between the parties, was determinable at the time of separation, and was earned for service.”).

3 C.R.S. 8-4-101(14)(a)(III) (“The employer shall pay upon separation from employment all vacation pay earned”); C.R.S. 8-4-109(1)(a) (right to payment of final wages upon separation from employment); Nieto v. Clark’s Market, Inc., 2021 CO 48, ¶ 10 (“When an employer chooses to provide vacation pay to its employees, an employee is entitled to receive all that is earned but still unpaid upon separation from employment”). For rules on making a final payment of wages, including vacation, upon the employee’s separation, see INFO #7: Payment of Wages & Required Record-Keeping.

4 See Statement of Basis, Purpose, Authority, & Findings ("Statement of Basis"), Wage Protection Rules, 7 CCR 1103-7 (Nov. 10, 2021), at 2-3 n.4 (citing and analyzing cases).

5 Floating holidays are vacation pay if usable on any day selected by the employee, subject to the same scheduling and approval that may be required for other types of vacation pay.
just how it’s labeled. If paid leave by any name meets the “vacation pay” definition, then it counts as “vacation pay” that the Wage Act requires to be paid when an employee separates from their job.  

Example 1: Company A employees have multiple forms of paid leave: (a) “sick days” usable if they have any of a broad range of health needs; (b) “bereavement and caretaking leave” usable if a family member has caretaking needs or passes away; and (c) “holiday pay” usable for any holiday the employee celebrates. These forms of paid leave are usable in a wide range of circumstances. But they aren’t paid leave usable for any purpose the employee chooses, at their discretion. Instead, each form of paid leave is usable only for specific qualifying events, and there’s no guarantee that an employee will have one of those events before separating from their job. Company A’s paid leave doesn’t meet the “vacation pay” definition, and Company A need not pay employees for these forms of unused paid leave when they separate from their jobs.

Example 2: Company B provides ten paid “personal days” that employees can use for any purpose, as long as they give reasonable notice to their supervisor. Because Company B’s “personal days” lack pre-conditions for their use, the pay is guaranteed rather than conditional, so the “personal days” meet the definition of “vacation pay” that must be paid at separation. In contrast, Company C provides ten paid “personal days” that employees can use for only specified personal needs: holidays when the company is open; health or family needs; or bereavement. Company C’s “personal days” are usable only upon the occurrence of specified conditions that may or may not occur during the employee’s tenure, so its “personal days” do not meet the definition of “vacation pay,” and need not be paid upon separation.

Rule Against Forfeiture of Earned Vacation

Employer policies or agreements can set whether, how much, and how to offer vacation pay. But under the Colorado Wage Act (Wage Act), no policy or agreement can say that an employee’s performance, termination, resignation, or other events can forfeit or waive already-earned vacation pay. A 2021 Colorado Supreme Court ruling explained why, and affirmed Division rules and decisions that had required the same:

Although the [Wage Act] does not entitle an employee to vacation pay, when an employer chooses to provide it, such pay is no less protected than other wages or compensation and, thus, cannot be forfeited once earned. Accordingly, under the [Act], all vacation pay that is earned and determinable must be paid at the end of the employment relationship, and any term of an agreement that purports to forfeit earned vacation pay is void.

To be payable upon separation, the amount of vacation must be “determinable” — able to be calculated. The calculation can be from a written document, verbal policy, or informal practice. If an employer provides “unlimited PTO,” that ordinarily is not payable upon separation, because the amount isn’t “determinable.” But if an employer says it offers “unlimited PTO,” yet actually doesn’t let employees take more than a certain amount of paid time off, then what it provides isn’t really “unlimited” PTO; it’s PTO with a specific, determinable amount.

---


7. See Statement of Basis, p.2 (as to why PTO qualifies as “vacation pay”: “The Division has researched how ‘vacation pay’ is defined in the several other states with a similar vacation pay statute. Every such state that Division research found to have a similar statute, and to have addressed the issue, has applied the same distinction — which the Division finds to be sound, and consistent with Nieto.”) (emphases added; citing and quoting cases from each such state).

8. C.R.S. 8-4-101(14)(a)(III) (“If an employer provides paid vacation for an employee, the employer shall pay upon separation from employment all vacation pay earned and determinable in accordance with the terms of any agreement between the employer and the employee.”); C.R.S. 8-4-121 (“Any agreement, written or oral, by any employee purporting to waive or to modify such employee’s rights in violation of this article shall be void.”).


10. See note 5 (noting how labor law focuses on “the realities of the employer/employee relationship, and therefore is primarily concerned with” what is done under the contract, and not with what the contract says).
Example 3: Company C states that it provides “unlimited” PTO, but doesn't actually let employees take over 120 hours in any year. What Company C provides isn't actually “unlimited” PTO, it’s 120 hours of PTO per year. So departing employees must be paid any unused portion of their 120-hour allotment.

Employers can choose the amount of vacation employees can take, such as by setting:

- **rates** of accruing (earning) vacation (example: one day earned per month);
- **limits on how much can be accrued** in any time period (example: a cap of 12 vacation days, reflecting one year of accruing one vacation day per month); and/or
- **limits on how much can be used** in a time period (e.g., a year) — but as noted below, no earned vacation can be forfeited, and employers using PTO to cover paid sick leave required by the Healthy Families and Workplaces Act (“HFWA,” C.R.S. 8-13.3-401, et seq.) can’t apply a limit lower than HFWA requires.

That means a vacation pay policy or agreement:

- (A) _can_ cap how much vacation employees accrue or use in a year, or in total — because that doesn’t forfeit any already-earned vacation; but
- (B) _cannot_ cap how much already-accrued vacation pay carries over to the next year — because that does forfeit already-earned vacation.

Example 4: Company D’s handbook provides that, when they start employment, its employees have access to four “sick days” and ten “personal days” per year. Company D announces that rather than provide additional paid “sick days” to provide the earned (accrued) paid sick leave required by HFWA, existing employees no longer have access to four of their “personal days”; instead, these four days only are available for HFWA-qualifying leave (so employees now have eight “sick days” and six “personal days” per year). Because “sick days” can only be used for qualifying illnesses or conditions, Company D’s policy violates the Wage Act by causing employees with at least four unused “personal days” to forfeit those days. However, Company D may amend its handbook to provide _new_ employees with eight “sick days” and four “personal days” per year, because new employees would not have already earned four “personal days.”

Example 5: Company E’s handbook says that employees fired for misconduct, or who quit with less than two weeks' notice, will not receive vacation pay when they separate from their jobs. That policy violates the Wage Act, because once vacation pay is earned, it can’t be waived or forfeited due to later events.11

Example 6: Company F’s policy says: (a) employees accrue one paid vacation day per month; (b) they stop earning more after accruing 20 days; (c) when they drop below 20 days by taking vacation, they earn more again, but only until accruing 20 days. This policy is permissible: it doesn’t take away already-earned vacation pay; it just sets a cap on how much is earned.

Example 7: Company G’s policy says: (a) employees accrue 15 paid vacation days per year; (b) but no more than 12 days may be “carried over” for use in future years. This policy impermissibly takes away already-earned vacation from employees with over 12 unused days by year’s end, unless the employer brings them down to 12 days by year’s end, such as by requiring time off or paying for some vacation days.

Example 8: Later, Company G (from Example 7) announces a new policy: (a) employees accrue one paid vacation day per month; (b) they stop earning more after accruing 12 days; (c) when they drop below 12 by taking vacation, they earn more again, but only until accruing 12. The new policy is permissible — it’s the same policy as in Example 6, just with a 12- rather than 20-day cap — with one limit on how it can apply. If, at the time of the new policy, an employee had over 12 accrued vacation days, they can’t be reduced to 12, because no policy can take away already-earned vacation days. But once that employee takes enough vacation to drop to 12 or fewer accrued days, it is permissible for the new policy to cap them at 12 days.

Unlike for some other pay types, the Wage Act does _not_ require vacation to be “vested,” in any way other than

---

being “earned,” for it to be payable at separation. Once vacation pay is earned, no extra vesting conditions can be added, and all unused vacation time must be paid when employees separate from their jobs.

**Employer Discretion Over How, And At What Rate, To Pay for Vacation**

An employer can define vacation pay as any amount of time — hours, days, weeks, etc. The amount due when an employee departs is whatever they would have been paid for that amount of work time, based on their “regular rate” of pay, as defined by the [COMPS Order](#). That is the same rate they would have been paid if they had used the vacation — the rate they were paid immediately before they told the employer they were resigning, or before the employer decided to terminate them (unless a pre-existing employer policy had said vacation time is paid at whatever rate the employee was being paid when they earned the vacation).

If an employer cuts an employee’s pay rate based on their upcoming termination or resignation, then just as it can’t lower pay rates for time already worked, it similarly can’t lower pay rates for vacation already earned. That means the employer must pay out vacation earned before the rate cut at the rate it paid before the cut.

**Example 9:** Dwight earns one day of paid vacation each month. He had four unused vacation days as of the morning of March 1, when he told his boss Michael he’s resigning, with March 31st as his last day. Michael responds that Dwight’s hourly pay rate is now cut from $30 to $15. Dwight is paid monthly, on the 7th of each month. Assuming the pay cut isn’t unlawful for another reason, then:

(a) Michael *can* pay Dwight at the new lower rate for time worked in March, but *not* for time worked before March. So Dwight’s March 7th paycheck for his February time worked must be at the prior, higher rate.

(b) Michael *can* apply the new lower pay rate to any vacation Dwight earned in March, but *not* to vacation earned before March. As of his departure, Dwight must be paid for five vacation days: the four earned before March, plus one earned in March. Michael must pay the four days earned before March at the $30 hourly rate, but can pay the one day earned in March at the $15 hourly rate.

Employers can choose to pay out vacation before employees take the time off, or make employees take time off to use their vacation. If so, the employer still must pay, or let employees keep, any remaining unused vacation. But no employer practice can leave employees with less paid leave than HFWA requires. So if an employer covers all absences, including HFWA paid leave, with a general PTO policy, then a payout of PTO can’t leave employees with less paid sick leave than HFWA requires.

**For Additional Information**

Visit the Division’s [website](#), call 303-318-8441, or email [cdle_labor_standards@state.co.us](mailto:cdle_labor_standards@state.co.us).

---

12 *Nieto*, 2021 CO 48, ¶ 24 (“[E]ven if vested means something other than earned, its exclusion” from the statute requiring payment of earned vacation pay at separation “signals that the legislature did not intend it to apply in this context”).

13 [Colorado Overtime and Minimum Pay Standards Order](#) (“COMPS Order”), 7 CCR 1103-1, Rule 1.8.

14 This discussion assumes the cut isn’t unlawful under any of the many labor laws disallowing “adverse actions” (including pay rate cuts) taken for various reasons — for example, laws against discrimination, retaliation, or interference with rights.

15 Compare [Colorado Civil Construction Inc.](#), DLSS Claim #3944-19 (Hearing Officer Decis. #21-0169, June 21, 2021) (“The employer cannot decrease a claimant’s wages after they have performed the work to earn those wages”), and [Mountain Top Ventures, Inc. d/b/a SHC Nursery & Landscape Co.](#), DLSS Claim #5449-18 (Hearing Officer Decis. #19-070, Oct. 1, 2019) (employer cannot pay for time worked at a lower rate than the rate to which the parties previously agreed), with [Avery Asphalt, Inc.](#), DLSS Claim #0047-19 (Hearing Officer Decis. #19-072, Oct. 15, 2019) (employer’s decision to rescind a raise was consistent with parties’ prior agreement about the raise’s conditions).

16 C.R.S. 8-13.3-403, -405, -416. For more on the HFWA requirements of employer-provided paid leave, see [INFO #6B](#).